ATTACHMENT B - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

From:	Service Provider (Offeror): Employee (POC): Address: City, State, Zip: Position: Phone:
То:	Client: Employee (POC): Position: Address: City, State, Zip:
Refere	nce: Project: Contract Number:
	e currently responding to the NASA Glenn Research Center's Request for Proposal (RFP) entitled GLENN PLE Award CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (GMACC).
former event	irement of the Request for Proposal (RFP) is that offerors responding to this solicitation identify both and current clients as well as request that these client's participation in the evaluation process. In the you are contacted for information on work we have performed on the project referenced above, you reby authorized to respond to those inquires.
Please	complete the enclosed Past Performance Questionnaire and forward it directly to:
21000 Clevela	Glenn Research Center Brookpark Road / Mail Stop 60-1 and, Ohio 44135 Jaumesser, Scott / Contracting Officer
The Co	ntracting Officer can be reached at: 216.433.6800
A resp	onse to this questionnaire is requested to the above address by: TBD
concer	ve identified of your organization as the point of contact based on their knowledge ning our work. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated. Any question may be directed
Sincere	ely,
Enclos	ure

Past Performance Questionnaire

Name of Contractor:	
Project / Contract #:	
Brief Description of Co	ract:
Evaluator:	Position:
Company:	E-Mail:
Phone:	FAX:

Please rate the General Contractor in the applicable areas according to the applicable performance criteria. Performance Rating from 1 = POOR through 5 = EXCELLENT Circle Logical Response as directed or fill in days as necessary.

NO	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	LOGICAL	PERFORMANCE RATING
	MANAGEMENT		
1	Contractor's management abilities		
2	Professionalism of Contractor		
3	Small Business Utilization / Subcontractor Management		
4	Contractor's flexibility in handling unforeseen events		
5	Ability to communicate effectively		
6	Ability to coordinate the effort of its contractors		
7	Ability to adjust to schedule changes and outages		
8	Ability to produce required permits/documentation		
9	Response time to routine changes		
10	Overall construction knowledge		
11	Overall performance of Contractor		
12	Your overall customer satisfaction		
13	Your comfort level in hiring the Contractor again based solely on		
	performance		
	TECHNICAL & QUALITY CONTROL		
14	Overall Construction quality and workmanship		
15	Quality of Submittals (design/drawings)		
16	Ability to seek Value-Engineering activities		
17	Ability to follow facility rules		
18	Ability to minimize change orders/claims/requests for equitable adjustment		

19	Ability to minimize lost	production time						
20	Ability to minimize con	struction defects						
21	Ability to complete pur	nch list items timely						
22	Contractor's housekee	ping practices						
23	Contractor's knowledg	e of codes and regulations						
	SAFETY PROGRAM		•					
24	OSHA Violations							
25	Mitigation of OSHA vio	lations						
26	Compliance with Owne	er's safety programs						
27	Work place violence in	cidents						
28	Overall evaluation of sa	afety program / safety plan						
29		mpliance (Any known violations?))	Υ	N			
	OTHER		•		•			
30	DOL knowledge and co	mpliance (Any known violations?)	Υ	N			
31	Response time to eme		,	D	ays			
			-		•			
32	Response time to warr	anty work requests		D	ays			
33	Job Completed on time	(Explain if No.)		Υ	N	-		
34	Job completed ahead of			<u>'</u> Ү	N			
35	•	aused by onsite accidents (Explain	1	<u>'</u> Ү	N	-		
	Ally known lost time et	added by offsite decidents (Explain)	'	14			
	Th:	ank you for your time in respond	ing to this	SHINA	\/			
	1110	sink you for your time in respond	ing to tims	Jui VC	<u>y</u>			
PROVII	OF AN OVERALL CONFID	ENCE RATING FOR THIS CONTRA	CTOR					
	y High Level (VHL)		[] Mod	derate	Level (MI)		
-	Level (LL)	[] Very Low level (VLL)	= =					
[] 2011	2010. (22)	[] (e., 2011 level (*22)	[]	t. ac		-,		
Space i	s provided for comment	s (additional pages may be used it	f desired) a	and co	mment	ts wc	ould be	
-	-	ering both excellent and less than	-					
•	,	C		, ,			Ü	